UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,360	02/26/2004	. William Geissler	022956-0257 2359	
21125 NUTTER MCC	7590 06/29/2007 CLENNEN & FISH LLP		EXAMINER	
WORLD TRAI	WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST  155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD		MENDOZA, MICHAEL G	
BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3734	
		·	<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

\$1		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/708,360	GEISSLER ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	•	Michael G. Mendoza	3734		
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Extendafter S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted to reply within the Set of extended period for reply will, by statuted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.		
Status		· ·			
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 F</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final.  Ince except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct.	election requirement.  er.  cepted or b) objected to by the Endrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-23 and 43-46 drawn to a method, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- II. Claim 24-42, drawn to device, classified in class 606, subclass 232.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. The product as claimed can be used to repair injures other than triangular fiborcartilage complex of a wrist. The product can be used to repair torn ligaments and tendons.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/708,360

<sup>-</sup> Art Unit: 3734

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael G. Mendoza whose telephone number is (571) 272-4698. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mu

MM

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER